1. PROBLEM AND MOTIVATION

Melanoma, a cancerous lesion in the pigment-bearing basal layers of the epidermis, is the most deadly form of skin cancer, yet treatable via excision if detected early. In the last three decades, a rising incidence of malignant melanoma has been observed [1]. Because of the lack of adequate therapies for metastatic melanoma, the best treatment is still early diagnosis and prompt surgical excision of the primary cancer [15, 19]. There is, therefore, a demand to develop computer-aided diagnostic systems to facilitate the early detection of melanoma. Dermoscopy, also known as epiluminescence microscopy, is an in-vivo noninvasive imaging method that is useful for the early recognition of malignant melanoma [19, 18, 17]. The various types of pigmented skin lesions, with particular regard to the differentiation between benign and malignant melanocytic lesions, can be determined through detection of specific dermoscopic features. In all methods, dermatologists look for presence of specific visual features for making a diagnosis. Then, if present, these features are analyzed for irregularities and malignancy. In this work we translated the clinical criteria to mathematical concepts to be used by computers for computer-aided diagnosis of skin cancers.

Pigment Network: A pigment network can be classified as either Typical or Atypical, where a working definition of a typical pigment network (TPN) is “a light-to-dark-brown network with small, uniformly spaced network holes and thin network lines distributed more or less regularly throughout the lesion and usually thinning out at the periphery” [3]. For an atypical pigment network (APN) we use the working definition “a black, brown or gray network with irregular holes and thick lines” [3]. The goal is to automatically classify a given image to one of three classes: Absent, Typical, or Atypical. Figure 2 exemplifies these 3 classes. We use these definitions to subdivide the structure into the darker mesh of the pigment network (which we refer to as the ‘net’) and the lighter colored areas the net surrounds (which we refer to as the ‘holes’). After identifying these substructures we use the definitions above to derive a several structural, geometric, chromatic and textual features suitable for classification.

Figure 1: The 3 classes of the dermoscopic structure pigment network: Absent, Typical and Atypical respectively.

Streaks: Streaks is a term used interchangeably with radial streaming or pseudopods. Radial streaming is a linear extension of pigment at the periphery of a lesion radially arranged linear structures in the growth direction, and pseudopods represent finger-like projections of dark pigment (brown to black) at the periphery of the lesion [7]. In order to ensure accurate recognition, streaks are numerated only when at least 3 near linear and parallel structures are clearly visible [24]. Streaks are local dermoscopy features of skin lesions, however they can correlate with a global pattern of skin lesions called a starburst pattern if symmetrically arranged over the entire lesion.

Mathematical definition of streaks: The above clinical definition is translated to mathematical concepts with justified parameters to be captured by image processing techniques: 1) Streaks are 3 or more linear structures co-radially oriented in the boundary which is a contour with the thickness equal to 1/3 of the minor axis of the lesion. 2) Streaks...
are darker than their neighborhood. 3) Streaks are shorter than the 1/3 of the minor axis of the lesion and they should be longer than one percent of the major axis. 4) Streaks do not branch and their curvature is smaller than one. Figure 2 shows examples of lesions with no streaks (Absent), regular (Present), and irregular (Present) streaks. Figure 2-a shows Absent, 2-b shows a starburst or complete pattern with regular streaks, and Figure 2-c shows a lesion with irregular streaks and partial pattern.

2. PREVIOUS WORK
The automated detection of pigment network has received some recent attention [10, 6, 8, 23, 20]. Although these studies have certainly made significant contributions, there has yet to be a comprehensive analysis of pigment network detection on a large number of dermoscopic images under ‘real-world’ conditions. All work to date has either: 1) not reported quantitative validation [10, 9]; 2) validated against a small (n < 100) number of images [6]; 3) only considered or reported results for the 2-class problem (e.g. Absent/Present rather than Absent/Typical/Atypical) [2, 6, 8, 23]; 4) not explicitly identified the location of the network [2]; or 5) has made use of unrealistic exclusion criteria and other manual interventions [23].

The automatic detection of streaks has only recently been investigated [5, 16]. Streaks on dermoscopy images usually are difficult to detect since they are not perfect linear structures, but often fuzzy and low-contrast oriented intensities. Furthermore, streaks may have unpredictable spatial distribution (partial pattern) with just a few streaks lines in a small region of a lesion. Therefore, it is not easy to detect them using general oriented pattern analysis. Mirzaalian et al. [16] have used a machine-learning approach for classifying streaks in dermoscopic images. Although the methodology is interesting, it, unfortunately, has been tested on a small number (99) of dermoscopic images with wide exclusion criteria. Mirzaalian et al.’s methodology is interesting, but unfortunately, has been tested on a small number (99) of dermoscopic images with wide exclusion criteria. It is not clear how the method generalizes to all conditions of dermoscopic images captured in a dermatologist clinic. This paper presents an effective method for pigment network segmentation and classification, which is validated on a large (n = 436) ‘real-world’ dataset.

3. APPROACH AND UNIQUENESS

3.1 Pigment Network Detection
After pre-processing and segmenting lesions from the normal skin [25], ‘hole detector’ is employed to generate a ‘hole mask’ indicating the pixels belonging to the holes of the pigment network. Next, a ‘net mask’ is created, indicating the pixels belonging to the net of the pigment network. We then use these masks to compute a variety of features including structural (which characterizes shape), geometric (which characterizes distribution and uniformity), chromatic and textural features. These features are fed into a classifier to classify unseen images.

3.1.1 Hole Detection:
After a pre-processing step, sharp changes of intensity are detected using the Laplacian of Gaussian (LOG) filter. The result of this edge detection step is a binary image which is subsequently converted into a graph to find meshes or cyclic structures of the lesion. After finding loops or cyclic subgraphs of the graph, noise or undesired cycles are removed and a graph of the pigment network is created using the extracted cyclic structures. According to the density of the pigment network graph, the given image is classified into Present or Absent.

In order to visualize the graph of a pigment network, we created a new higher-level graph whose nodes are centers of the meshes belonging to the pigment network (green meshes). Figure 3 shows results of pigment network hole detection visualized by graph representation.

3.1.2 Net Detection:
In order to identify the net of a pigment network, we apply the Laplacian of Gaussian (LoG) filter to the green channel of the image. The LoG filter identifies high frequency components of an image and therefore makes an ideal net
The major issue with applying this operator is that its response is strongly dependent on the relationship between the frequency of the structures and the size of the Gaussian kernel used. We used $\sigma = 0.15$, which is an appropriate value for images of the two atlases used in our experiment [4, 24], however it can be tuned for a given imageset according to scale and magnification. We then threshold the filter response, resulting in a 'net mask' which indicates which pixels belong to the net of the pigment network. Figure 4 shows the final result of pigment network detection.

**3.2 Streaks Detection**

First the lesion is segmented and the orientation of the lesion is found, and the image is rotated to align the major axis horizontally since the major axis represents the lesion growth direction. Then, to have a relatively uniform image size, the lesion is re-sized so that its major axis occupies 500 pixels. Finally, the image is enhanced using a simple 3x3 high pass filter that removes the low frequency noise [21]. To get a single plane luminance image, the given RGB image is converted to the L*a*b color-space and L*, is used for the rest of our analysis (Figure 5-b). Then, multi-scale Laplacian of Gaussian is applied to detect dermoscopy structures with Gaussian-like profile. After finding linear structures, the orientation flow of the image is analyzed to determine the orientation of detected objects in the orientation flow to select linear structures of candidate streaks. Finally, chromatic and textural features of detected line segments are used to classify the lesions into Absent or Present images. An overview of our method is given in Figure 5.

**Blob detection using LOG:** Since streaks are linear structures with Gaussian-like profiles, we detect them using Laplacian of Gaussian (LOG). To capture objects of different sizes a multi-scale approach is necessary. Figure 5-d and 5-e show the LOG responses at two different scales of $hsize=3, 9$ respectively.

**Estimating orientation:** After finding linear structures by LOG, the orientation estimation is performed using the Averaged Squared Gradient Flow (ASGF) algorithm [14]. The algorithm starts by computing the gradients $G_x(i, j)$ and $G_y(i, j)$ at each pixel $(i, j)$ in image $I$. For doubling the angle and squaring the length in ASGF, the gradient vector is converted to polar coordinates. The image is divided into blocks of size $W=16$. For each block, the local orientation centered at pixel $(i, j)$ is estimated and averaged. To reduce the effect of noise on the estimated orientation, a low-pass filter (Gaussian) is used to modify the local ridge orientation. Now, the local orientation and its reliability (the coherence of the squared gradients given by [14]) can be computed at pixel $(i, j)$. This algorithm results in a smooth intensity flow orientation over the image (shown in Figure 5-f), and Figure 5-g shows the reliability map of the orientation estimation in the example image.

**Estimating ridge frequency:** After finding the local orientation and averaging for image blocks, the local ridge frequency is estimated by rotating the block so that the ridges are vertical. Then, the columns are projected down to find peaks. The frequency of ridges can be calculated by dividing the distance between the first and last peaks by (number of peaks − 1), and finally the median frequency is computed over all the blocks in the image. The final result of frequency estimation for all blocks of our example image (5-d) is shown in Figure 5-h.

**Enhancing orientation image:** From [12], a Gabor filter with tuned ridge frequency and orientation, can remove the noise efficiently while preserves true ridges and valleys. The even-symmetric Gabor filter has the general form of $g(x, y; f, \theta, \sigma) = \exp \left(-\frac{x'^2+y'^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \cos(2\pi f x')$, $x' = x \cos \theta + y \sin \theta$, and $y' = -x \sin \theta + y \cos \theta$, where $\sigma$ is the sigma of the Gaussian kernel in the filter, and $f$ and $\theta$ are the corresponding median ridge frequency over the image and local orientation respectively. The result of this step is shown in Figure 5-i. Figure 5-j shows the binary image of the enhanced orientation created by thresholding (1 for ridges and 0 for valleys), and Figure 5-k is created from (j) and (g) by removing pixels with reliability $\leq 50\%$. The skeleton of the
result is shown in (l) as detected linear structures in the image. These line segments will be used for feature extraction in the next step.

Figure 6 demonstrates our streak detection method qualitatively. Figures 6-a illustrates an absent image and its results is shown in 6-b. Figure 6-c shows a starburst lesions (regular streaks) with its streaks detected in Figure 6-d. A melanoma with irregular streaks and its result are shown in 6-e and 6-f.

3.3 Classification

After segmenting the dermoscopy structures, pigment network and streaks, we extract a set of features for each based on the clinical definition of the structure.

For pigment network we used the 69 structural, geometric, chromatic and textual features [22].

For streaks classification, based on our mathematical definitions of streaks, we propose a new set of 12 features
called STR which includes three structural, six chromatic, and 3 textural characteristics of candidate streaks. In STR, Structural set includes the number of candidate streaks in the image, average number of pixels of candidate streaks, and the ratio of the streaks size to the lesion size in pixels; Chromatic set consists of the mean, standard deviation and reciprocal of coefficient of variation (mean/stdev) of candidate streaks in L*, and std of H; and Textural features are energy, contrast, and homogeneity of candidate streaks. We have also used common color and texture features [22] of the lesion itself (called LCT). LCT includes the following 13 features: The mean, standard deviation and reciprocal of coefficient of variation (mean/stdev) of values in H, S, and V from HSV and L* of L*a*b*, and four of the classical Gray-level co-occurrence matrix based texture measures; energy, contrast, correlation, and homogeneity [22].

These features are fed into a classifier so that new images can be classified. We employ the WEKA’s [11] implementation SimpleLogistic which uses a powerful boosting algorithm LogitBoost.

4. RESULTS
Using ten-fold cross-validation, we evaluated our proposed approach on streak and pigment network detection in separate experiments.

We applied our pigment network detection method to a set of 436 dermoscopic images taken from two atlases of dermoscopy [4, 24]. Our dataset consists of 161 Absent, 154 Typical, and 121 Atypical lesions. We compute results for both the 3-class (Absent, Typical or Atypical) and 2-class problems (Absent, Present). Table 1 summarizes these results.

For streaks detection, we used a set of 300 dermoscopy images, including 105 absent and 195 present from [4, 24]. Corrupted images due to the acquisition parameters such as lighting and magnification, partial lesions (entire lesion was not visible), or lesions occluded with an unreasonable amount of either oil or hair are excluded. Using the L channel of the L*a*b* colour channel as the luminance image for streak detection, we obtained the best performance for both data sets. For 300 images, accuracy of Absent/Present classification using L* is 85%, with AUC of 90.5%. In the second experiment, 200 images (100 starburst, and 100 non-starburst) are used to evaluate the performance of our method on the starburst detection problem. We achieved the accuracy of 81.5% and AUC of 87.7% using 10-fold cross validation. Table 2 reports detailed results of our evaluation.

5. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK
We have developed an algorithm to locate and classify pigment network structure in dermoscopy images using graph analysis. We have also presented an automatic approach for detection of radially oriented streaks on real dermoscopic images, using techniques based on ridge and valley detection used in fingerprint image recognition. We demonstrated that the proposed approaches can detect two important structures in dermoscopy images and visualize them. Therefore, they can improve the accuracy of melanoma detection in computer-aided skin lesion analysis. Furthermore, since the proposed method locates the structures and provides a qualitative analysis, they can be used to highlight suspicious areas for experts’s diagnosis and for visualization and training purposes.
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